



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,796	02/26/2002	Shadrack K. Kilemba	SMQ-084	8019
959 7	59 7590 07/09/2004		. EXAMINER	
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP.			ALAM, SHAHID AL	
28 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2172	Ŋ
		,	DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

		\mathbf{A}			
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/085,796	KILEMBA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shahid Al Alam	2172			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL.	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/PT∩_413\			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Art Unit: 2172

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 - 22 are pending in this Office action.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Examiner is requesting to provide Cross-References to Related Applications by amending the paragraph.

Appropriate correction is requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 and 17 recite the limitation "managing items" on page 9, lines 5 and 6, page 10, line 36 and page 11, lines 1 and 2, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Δ

Application/Control Number: 10/085,796

Art Unit: 2172

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 – 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 6,141,660 issued to Mark Bach et al. ("Bach") and in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 20030051008 issued to Scott Gorthy et al. ("Gorthy").

With respect to claim 1, Bach teaches a method in an electronic device interfaced with a network (see Figure 1) comprising:

running a management application on the electronic device for managing items (column 17, lines 3-5); and

receiving a request at the management application from a web browser client (column 17, lines 3-5).

Bach teaches batch processing command or run script, browser request and command line interface as claimed in the claim limitation. However, Bach does not explicitly teach translating the request into one or more command line interface (CLI) command as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,796

Art Unit: 2172

Gorthy teaches claimed translating the request into one or more command line interface (CLI) commands (see Figure 8, page 2, paragraph [0034] and page 4, paragraph [0052].

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine Bach with Gorthy because, when given a command in XML format, the command information in the configuration schema can be used to reformat the XML-based command into a proper CLI format. Once reformatted into a CLI format, the command can be pushed out to the appropriate router. Thus, a system administrator could configure such a router without knowing the specifics of the CLI.

As to claim 2, storing the CLI commands that result from the translating (see paragraph [0034]; Gorthy).

As to claim 3, forwarding the CLI commands that result from the translating to a CLI client (see paragraph [0050]; Gorthy).

As to claim 4, the electronic device includes a display and wherein the CLI commands that result from the translating are output on the display (column 15, lines 10 – 12 and Figure 6L; Bach).

The subject matter of claim 5 is rejected in the analysis above in claim 1 and this claim is rejected on that basis.

As to claim 6, storing the CLI commands resulting from the translating of the additional requests in a script (page 2, paragraphs [0034, 0035] and page 3, paragraph 0050]; Gorthy).

As to claim 7, executing the script (column 17, lines 54 – 55; Bach).

Application/Control Number: 10/085,796

Art Unit: 2172

As to claim 8, responding to the request independently of the translating (Column 5, lines 37 – 45; Bach).

Page 5

The subject matters of claim 9 are rejected in the analysis above in claim 1 and this claim is rejected on that basis.

As to claim 10, the management application manages items in a storage area network (SAN) (Figure 1: Bach and Figures 5 and 6: Gorthy).

Claims 11 - 14 are essentially the same as claims 1 - 10 except that they set forth the claimed invention as a method on a web server rather than a method in an electronic device interfaced with a network. Since each and every limitation of claims 11 - 14 has been addressed above in the rejection of claims 1 - 10, claims 11 - 14 are rejected for the same reasons as applied to claims 1 – 10 hereinabove.

Claims 15 – 16 are essentially the same as claims 1 – 10 except that they set forth the claimed invention as an electronic device rather than a method in an electronic device interfaced with a network. Since each and every limitation of claims 15 - 16 has been addressed above in the rejection of claims 1 - 10, claims 15 - 16 are rejected for the same reasons as applied to claims 1 - 10 hereinabove.

Claims 17 - 22 are essentially the same as claims 1 - 10 except that they set forth the claimed invention as a medium rather than a method in an electronic device interfaced with a network. Since each and every limitation of claims 17 - 22 has been addressed above in the rejection of claims 1 – 10, claims 17 – 22 are rejected for the same reasons as applied to claims 1 – 10 hereinabove.

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shahid Al Alam whose telephone number is (703) 305-2358. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00 A.M. - 4:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E Breene can be reached on (703) 305-9790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shahid Al Alam Primary Examiner Art Unit 2172

27 June 2004